

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/502,065	Applicant(s) LAUTT ET AL.
Examiner SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE		Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Mr. Brian Dorn.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 9, 21, 22, 29 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6436996 (Vitek)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Dorn was contacted with a proposed examiner's amendment to delete species SIN-1, cysteine and N-acetylcysteine from claim 6 to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Dorn called back on 5/21/09 to inform that applicants preferred an office action.